



MAJOR BOYD WHITLEY,
Petitioner,

v.

SHERIFF VAN SHAW, et al.,
Defendant(s)

Motion to Objections to
Magistrate Judges Memorandum
Opinion and Recommendation
Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b),
6(a), and 6(d).

1:19cv358

In and for the record!

I Major boyd Whitley in propria person suiquirs do appear specially
and not generally.

I did reach majority and do state the following as true:

I am a natural person.

Let the record reflect see Exhibits that was filed on date APR-1-2019,
along with plaintiff Complaint. That through grievance procedure that
the plaintiff brought to the defendants attention how the Cabarrus County
detention center was violating his first amendment right to practice
his religion. The constitution do support that every man hath a right
to petition the legislature for the redress of grievances in a peaceable
and orderly manner. let the record reflect see exhibits plaintiff
plea with defendants to be remove from the pod and not to be force
to fellowship in christianity exerise every sunday. And for Ten months
defendants refuse to remove plaintiff from the christianity fellowship,

page 1 of 2.

Citizens liberty are protected by the due process clause to Worship God according to the dictates of his own conscience and generally to enjoy those privileges long recognized at common law as essential to ~~the~~ the orderly pursuit of happiness by free men. Defendants is no food expert. the Kaosher diet and Vegan diet are two different types of diets. Defendants violate ^{plaintiff} ~~plaintiff's~~ religion diet. ~~Plaintiff~~ Plaintiff resubmitted his grievance after Consulting with a lawyer. See Exhibit page 11 of 18. also see exhibit page 7 of 18. Plaintiff was still able to hear the preaching with tray slot closed the cell doors in the Cabarrus county detention center or sliding doors with an open space at the back of the door. Under law of the Fourteenth amendment pre-trial detainess must be treated humanely and provided with the basic necessities of life. See Rhodes v. Chapman 452 U.S. 337, 345-47 (1981). Otherwise, there may be a constitutional violation. see eg. Hutto v. Finney, 437 U.S. 678, 685 (1978).

~~Plaintiff~~ Plaintiff seeking the same relief in summary judgment and complaint.

Respectfully Submitted 15/Majr Whitley
Date 7-19-2020.

page 2 of 2.